

ANGUS COUNCIL

MINUTE of MEETING of the **DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE** held in the Canmore Room, Municipal Buildings, Forfar on Tuesday 7 June 2011 at 11.00 am.

Present: Councillors DAVID LUMGAIR, JOHN RYMER, ALISON ANDREWS, MARK SALMOND and BOB SPINK.

Also Present: IAN MITCHELL, Planning Adviser, STEPHEN BROWN, Legal Adviser and ELAINE WHITTET, Committee Officer.

Councillor LUMGAIR, Convener, in the Chair.

1. APOLOGIES/SUBSTITUTES

There were no apologies intimated.

In respect of item 4, The Bothy, Ethiehaven, Inverkeilor, it was noted that Councillor Salmond would substitute for Councillor Andrews for that item only.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST/INTIMATIONS OF CONTACT

In terms of the Councillors' Code of Conduct and Good Practice Guidelines, the following undernoted declaration of interest/intimation of contact was made:-

Councillor Lumgair intimated that, in relation to item 6, DMRC-26-2011, he knew the family and advised that he would not be taking part in the discussion and voting.

3. MINUTE OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The [minute of meeting of this Committee of 17 May 2011](#) was submitted and approved as a correct record.

4. THE BOTHY, ETHIEHAVEN, INVERKEILOR

With reference to Article 4 of the minute of meeting of this Committee of 17 May 2011, there was submitted [Report No 339/11](#) by the Director of Corporate Services detailing an application for a review of the decision taken by the Planning Authority in respect of the refusal for the change of use from boat store to dwellinghouse, including alterations and first floor extension at The Bothy, Ethiehaven, Inverkeilor.

The Committee noted that an unaccompanied site visit had taken place on Monday 6 June 2011 at 2.00 pm.

The Committee then gave consideration to the contents of the Report and to the papers submitted by the applicant and the Planning Authority.

Detailed discussion followed on the matter and the Committee agreed that, having considered the relevant information and having given full consideration to the reasons presented by the Planning Authority to refuse the application, it was the Committee's view that the application be approved as the development, almost a mirror image of neighbouring properties, fitted with the local character and pattern of the landscape. The proposed scale of the development massing and proportions fitted well within the existing policies and the application was therefore in compliance with Policy S3, conversion of a redundant stone built non-residential building and was in accordance with Policy SC5 in that it retained architectural style whilst allowing for a sensitively designed extension.

The review was accordingly upheld and the application approved, subject to appropriate conditions to be submitted to the next meeting of the Development Management Review Committee.

5. PITAIRLIE FARM, NEWBIGGING

There was submitted [Report No 406/11](#) by the Director of Corporate Services detailing an application for a review of the decision taken by the Planning Authority in respect of the refusal of planning permission for the erection of a dwellinghouse and garage in garden grounds at Pitairlie Farmhouse, Newbigging.

The Committee then gave consideration to the contents of the Report and to the papers submitted by the applicant and the Planning Authority. The Committee thereafter confirmed that the new information submitted by the applicant be not taken into consideration when undertaking the review.

Discussion took place and the Committee agreed that the decision to refuse the application by the Head of Planning and Transport was in accordance with the Council's Local Plan Policy. The Director's decision was endorsed and accordingly the review dismissed.

6. CAIRN COTTAGE, KIRRIEMUIR

There was submitted [Report No 409/11](#) by the Director of Corporate Services detailing an application for a review of the decision taken by the Planning Authority in respect of the refusal of alterations and extension to a house at Cairn Cottage, Kirriemuir.

The Committee gave consideration to the papers submitted by the appointed person and by the applicant and determined that they had sufficient information to consider the review at this meeting.

Following discussion, the Committee agreed that the decision to refuse the application by the Head of Planning and Transport was in accordance with the Council's Local Plan Policy. The Director's decision was endorsed and accordingly the review dismissed.